METHANE AND CARBON DIOXIDE MEASUREMENTS WITH NEW HIGH-PRECISION LOW-POWER LOW-MAINTENANCE CLOSED-PATH ANALYZERS: FIRST LAB AND FIELD RESULTS

G. Burba[1,2*], A. Komissarov[1], M. Johnson[1], D. Trutna[1], W. Miller[1], K. Minish[1], R. Walbridge[1], J. Welles[1] and I. Begashaw[1]

[1]LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, Nebraska, United States; [2]School of Natural Resources, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, United States, *Corresponding author: george.burba@licor.com

INTRODUCTION

Global CO2 and CH4 monitoring requires instruments that must meet strict requirements for:

- Accuracy, precision and stability
- Low power consumption
- Portability and low maintenance

In 2013-2018, a new technology was developed to address these requirements.

In 2018, this technology was implemented in two new gas analyzer models:

- LI-7810 model for $CH_4/H_2O/CO_2$
- LI-7815 model for CO_2/H_2O

The key goal was to allow cost-effective low-maintenance WMO-quality [1] measurements of CH4 in the LI-7810 model, and CO2 in the concurrent LI-7815 model.

Here we report on the performance validation of the initial beta prototypes of both models.

NEW TECHNOLOGY

Optical Feedback - Cavity Enhanced Absorption Spectroscopy, OF-CEAS, detailed in [2-14]:

- Continuous field deployment
- Infrequent field calibrations
- Relatively low cost
- Operating temperature:-25 to 45 °C
- Operating pressure: 70-110 kPa
- System time response, 10-90%: 1-2 s
- Sampling flow rate: 280 scc min^{-1}
- Sampling cell volume: 6.41 cm^3
- Sampling system volume: 15.8 cm^3
- Power demand: 20 W nominal
- Internal battery: 8 hrs continuous
- Total weight: 11.4 kg
- Exterior size: 51 x 18 x 33 cm
- Wireless & Ethernet connections
- Embedded web server
- Data storage: ≈ 1 month†
- Low field maintenance: replacing pre-filter, chemicals, and pump

† *Most but not all countries;* ‡ *Logging full dataset*

CH_4-CO_2-H_2O

LI-7810 Range	Precision	Accuracy
CH_4: 0.1-50 ppm	≈0.25 ppb@ 5 s	2 ppb@ 2000 ppb, 25 °C
CO_2: 1-10000 ppm	≈1.5 ppm@ 5 s	1.5 %@ 300-700 ppm, 25 °C
H_2O: 0.100-60 ppT	≈20 ppm@ 5 s,	1.5 %@ 0.5-60 ppT, 25 °C

CH_4 and CO_2 Allan Deviation



CH_4 Stability at Constant Temperature



CH_4 Stability Across Wide Temperature Range



CO_2-H_2O

LI-7815 Range	Precision	Accuracy
CO_2: 1-10000 ppm	≈0.04 ppm@ 5 s	0.4 ppm@ 400 ppm, 25 °C
H_2O: 0.100-60 ppT	≈20 ppm@ 5 s	1.5 %@ 0.5-60 ppT, 25 °C

CO_2 Allan Deviation



CO_2 Stability at Constant Temperature



CO_2 Stability Across Wide Temperature Range



FIELD VALIDATION



KEY APPLICATIONS

- Approaches relying on very high precision concentrations, often used by WMO-GAW groups: Inverse Flux Methods, Lagrangian Modeling, Mass Balance, Fence-Line, *etc.*
- Chamber fluxes of both CO2 and CH4 from the same gas analyzer
- Micromet methods relying on slow well-resolved concentrations, such as Disjunct Eddy Covariance, Eddy Accumulation, Aerodynamic, Resistance, Integrated Horizontal Flux, Control Volume, Bowen Ratio, *etc.*
- Distributed sensors techniques being currently developed for Megacities/ Green Cities projects
- Mobile flux monitoring and concentration mapping
- Eddy Covariance method from towers taller than about 10 m when long intake tubes are deployed

REFERENCES

[1] WMO, 2016. WMO-GAW Report No. 229, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland
[2] Motto-Ros, V., Durand, M. and Morville, J., 2008. Extensive characterization of the optical feedback cavity enhanced absorption spectroscopy (OF-CEAS) technique: ringdown-time calibration of the absorption scale. Applied Physics B, 91(1), pp.203-211.
[3] Romanini, D., Ventrillard, I., Méjean, G., Morville, J. and Kerstel, E., 2014. Introduction to cavity enhanced absorption spectroscopy. In Cavity-Enhanced Spectroscopy and Sensing (pp. 1-60). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
[4] Kachanov, A. and Koulikov, S., LI-COR Biosciences, 2014. Cavity Enhanced Laser Based Gas Analyzer Systems and Methods. U.S. Patent 8,885,167
[5] Koulikov, S. and Kachanov, A., LI-COR Biosciences, 2014. Cavity Enhanced Laser Based Isotopic Gas Analyzer. U.S. Patent 8,665,442
[6] Koulikov, S. and Kachanov, A., LI-COR Biosciences, 2014. Laser based cavity enhanced optical absorption gas analyzer with laser feedback optimization. U.S. Patent 8,659,758.
[7] Kachanov, A. and Koulikov, S., LI-COR Biosciences, 2015. Cavity enhanced laser based gas analyzer systems and methods. U.S. Patent 9,194,742
[8] Koulikov, S., LI-COR Biosciences, 2015. Systems and Methods for Controlling the Optical Path Length Between a Laser and an Optical Cavity. U.S. Patent 9,216,047
[9] Kachanov, A. and Koulikov, S., LI-COR Biosciences, 2016. Cavity enhanced laser based gas analyzer systems and methods. U.S. Patent 9,304,080
[10] Koulikov, S. and Kachanov, A., LI-COR Biosciences, 2017. Cavity Enhanced Laser Based Isotopic Gas Analyzer. U.S. Patent 9,678,003
[11] Koulikov, S. and Kachanov, A., LI-COR Biosciences, 2017. Cavity Enhanced Laser Based Isotopic Gas Analyzer. U.S. Patent 9,759,654
[12] Koulikov, S., LI-COR Biosciences, 2017. Systems and Methods for Controlling the Optical Path Length Between a Laser and an Optical Cavity. U.S. Patent 9,681,492
[13] Begashaw I., M. Johnson, A. Komissarov, W. Miller, D. Trutna, R. Walbridge, J. Welles, and G. Burba, 2018. Methane Measurements with High-Precision Low-Power Low-Maintenance Closed-Path CH4-CO2-H2O Gas Analyzer: First Lab and Field Results. European Geosciences Union General Assembly, Vienna, Austria, 8-13
[14] Begashaw I., M. Johnson, A. Komissarov, W. Miller, D. Trutna, R. Walbridge, J. Welles and G. Burba, 2018. Performance validation of new high precision CH4 and CO2 analyzers based on optical feedback cavity enhanced absorption spectroscopy. NOAA ESRL Global Monitoring Annual Conference, Boulder, Colorado, USA, 22-23 May

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The initial principles and some portions of the new technology presented herein were developed in part based on the grants from the U.S. DOE Office of Science under the award numbers DE-FOA-0001039 and DE-FOA-0000760, and from the U.S. DOE Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy (ARPA-E) MONITOR Program under the award number DE-AR0000537.

We would also like to acknowledge Drs. Arlyn Andrews and Jonathan Kofler for their help with field validation of the LI-7810 prototype, including data analysis.